Broxtowe Part 2 Local Plan



Agent

Please provide your client's name	DIO
r rouse provide your ellerit s riams	

Your Details

Title	Mr	Mrs	Miss	Ms	Other:
Name	Peter Leaver				
Organisation (if responding on behalf of the organisation)	JLL				
Address	45 Church Street Birmingham				
Postcode	B3 2	2RT			
Tel. Number	012	1 214 9	970		
E-mail address	Pete	er.leave	r@eu.jll	.com	

Comments should be received by 5.00pm on Friday 3^{ra} November 2017 If you wish to comment on several policies, paragraphs, or sites, please use a separate form for each representation.

If you would like to be contacted by the Planning Policy Team regarding future consultations.

Please tick here √

Please help us save money and the environment by providing an e-mail address that correspondence can be sent to:peter.leaver@eu.jll.com

For more information including an online response form please visit:

www.broxtowe.gov.uk/part2localplan

Data Protection - The comment(s) you submit on the Local Development Framework (LDF) will be used in the plan process and may be in use for the lifetime of the LDF in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998. The information will be analysed and the Council will consider issues raised. Please note that comments cannot be treated as confidential and will be made available for public inspection. All representations can be viewed at the Council Offices.

Please return completed forms to:

Planning Policy, Legal and Planning Services, Foster Avenue, Beeston, Nottingham NG9 1AB For more information: Tel: 0115 917 3452, 3448, 3468 or 3015 E-mail: policy@broxtowe.gov.uk

Document	Policy number	Page number	Policy text/ Paragraph number
	Policy 1: Flood Risk		
	Policy 2: Site Allocations		
	Policy 3: Main Built up Area Site Allocations		
	Policy 4: Awsworth Site Allocation		
	Policy 5: Brinsley Site Allocation		
	Policy 6: Eastwood Site Allocation		
	Policy 7: Kimberley Site Allocations		
	Policy 8: Development in the Green Belt		
	Policy 9 : Retention of good quality existing employment sites		
	Policy 10: Town Centre and District Centre Uses		
<u> </u>	Policy 11: The Square, Beeston		
<u>P</u>	Policy 12: Edge-of-Centre A1 Retail in Eastwood		
2 Local Plan	Policy 13: Proposals for main town centre uses in edge-of-centre and out-of-centre locations		
art 2 l	Policy 14: Centre of Neighbourhood Importance (Chilwell Road / High Road)		
<u>~</u>	Policy 15: Housing size, mix and choice		
	Policy 16: Gypsies and Travellers		
	Policy 17: Place-making, design and amenity		
	Policy 18: Shopfronts, signage and security measures		
	Policy 19 : Pollution, Hazardous Substances and Ground Conditions		
	Policy 20: Air Quality		
	Policy 21: Unstable land		
	Policy 22: Minerals		
	Policy 23 : Proposals affecting designated and non-designated heritage assets	124	
	Policy 24: The health impacts of development		

	Policy 25: Culture, Tourism and Sport	
	Policy 26: Travel Plans	
	Policy 27: Local Green Space	
	Policy 28: Green Infrastructure Assets	
	Policy 29: Cemetery Extensions	
	Policy 30: Landscape	
	Policy 31: Biodiversity Assets	
	Policy 32: Developer Contributions	
Policies Map	Map 7: Chetwynd Barracks Policy: 3.1	
Sustainability Appraisal		
Other (e.g. omission, evidence document etc.)		

Question 2: What is the issue with the Local Plan?

Do you consider this paragraph or policy of the Local Plan to be: (please refer to the guidance note at for an explanation of these terms)			No
2.1	Legally compliant	√	
2.2	Compliant with the duty to co-operate	√	
2.3	Sound		√

Question 3: Why is the Local Plan unsound? Please only answer this question if you answered 'No' to 2.3 above

If you think this paragraph or policy of the Plan is not sound, is this because:		
It is not justified		
It is not effective		
It is not positively prepared		
It is not consistent with national policy	√	

Your comments

Please give details of why you consider this part of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or does not comply with the duty to co-operate. Alternatively, if you wish to support any of these aspects please provide details. Please be as precise as possible. Continue on an extra sheet if necessary.

Policy 23 is generic and, as it is currently set out, is not in compliance with the NPPF, specifically Point 2 which sets out:

"Proposals that affect heritage assets will be require to demonstrate and understanding of the significance of the assets and their settings, identify the impact of the development upon them and provide a clear justification for the development in order that a decision can be made as to whether the merits of the proposals for the site bring public benefits which decisively outweigh the harm arising from the proposals"

The policy groups designated heritage assets and non designated heritage assets together, which is inappropriate as there is a clear distinction within the NPPF between these two types of assets and how they should be treated. For example, the NPPF requires that when weighing up applications concerning non designated heritage assets, a balanced judgement should be made having regard to the scale of harm, as opposed to designated heritage assets where any harm being caused should be weighed against the public benefits. We would advise that that policy is separated out in order to correctly address the two distinct types of asset, in compliance with the NPPF.

Furthermore, Point 2 does not appropriately define levels of harm, i.e. it makes no distinction between substantial and less than substantial harm. The NPPF handles these two types of harm differently and as such local policy should be making a clear distinction between the two in order to comply with the NPPF.

The treatment of designated heritage assets and non designated heritage assets as well as levels harm are critical distinctions which need to be addressed as they have a significant impact on how decisions are made. As set out above, this policy is currently not in compliance with the NPPF and, as such, should be amended.

Question 4: Modifications sought

Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. Continue on an extra sheet if necessary.

See response to Question 3.
Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting
nformation necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will
not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original

representation at publication stage. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Question 5: Public Examination Attendance

If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the public examination?			
Yes, I wish to participate at the public examination			
No, I do not wish to participate at the public examination	√		

If you wish to participate at the public examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary
Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the public examination.

Guidance Note:

Please complete a **separate form** for **each representation** you wish to make.

'Legally Compliant':

If your response relates to the way in which the plan has been prepared, then this is likely to relate

to whether it or not it is 'Legally Compliant'. To be 'Legally Compliant', the Local Plan has to be prepared in accordance within the 'Duty to Cooperate' and legal and procedural requirements. These are set out by legislation in the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 (as amended). If you think that we have not met the legal requirement in the preparation of the Local Plan, please use the response form to tell us what we have not done or what we have done incorrectly.

'Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate':

If your response relates to <u>the way in which we have worked with other authorities</u> then this is likely to relate to the 'Duty to Co-operate'.

The 'Duty to Co-operate' places a legal duty on Local Planning Authorities, County Councils and certain public bodies to engage constructively, actively, and on an on-going basis, to maximise the effectiveness of Local Plan preparation in the context of strategic cross-boundary matters. The 'Duty to Co-operate' is not a duty to agree. However, Local Planning Authorities should make every effort to secure the necessary co-operation on strategic cross-boundary matters before they submit their Local Plan for examination.

'Sound'

If your response is about the **content** of the Local Plan and the strategy it adopts, then it is likely to relate to whether or not the Local Plan is '**Sound**'.

To meet the 'Test of Soundness', the independent Planning Inspector is required to consider whether or not our Local Plan is 'justified', 'effective', has been 'positively prepared', and is 'consistent with national policy'. You may wish to consider the following before making a representation on the 'Soundness' of our Local Plan:

- 'Justified': This means that the Local Plan is based upon a robust and credible evidence base. If you think that the evidence doesn't support the choice made in our Local Plan, or there are realistic alternatives, then your comments may relate to whether or not it is 'justified'.
- **'Effective':** This means that the Local Plan will deliver what it sets out to. If you think that what we are proposing in the Local Plan is not deliverable, then your comments may relate to whether or not our Local Plan is 'effective'.
- 'Positively Prepared': This means the Local Plan should be prepared based on a strategy which seeks to meet objectively assessed development and infrastructure requirements, including unmet requirements from neighbouring authorities where it is reasonable to do so and consistent with achieving sustainable development.
- 'Consistent with National Policy': Do you consider that our Local Plan accords with the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and other policies, or includes clear and convincing reasons for doing something different?

For further guidance or assistance, please contact the **Planning Policy Team** on **0115 917 3452** or by emailing **policy@broxtowe.gov.uk**.